

From: [redacted name] [redacted email]  
Subject: attain David Joyce, Director of Regeneration  
and Planning  
Date: 24 June 2017 at 11:06:27 BST  
To: [tavistocktrafficorder@camden.gov.uk](mailto:tavistocktrafficorder@camden.gov.uk)

Dear David Joyce

I am forwarding my email from 2015 on behalf of the residents of Guilford Court. The traffic order creates considerable congestion and pollution at peak times, and in our view these issues outweigh the advantages of better eastwardly traffic flow along Tavistock Place.

We were particularly concerned at the lack of appropriate pollution monitoring and would urge the inquiry to reconsider.

Can you advise as to how we might make representations directly to the Public Inquiry

sincerely

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** [redacted name and email]  
**Subject:** **Changes to traffic flow in Torrington and Tavistock.**  
**Date:** 29 November 2015 at 06:56:56 GMT  
**To:** [tavistocktorringtontrial@camden.gov.uk](mailto:tavistocktorringtontrial@camden.gov.uk)  
**Cc:** [redacted names and emails]

Dear Camden

As a local resident I wish to protest about the recent changes to traffic flow in our area. For some reason this was not advertised to us here in Guilford Court. I am copying the Marchmont Association (though they may take a different view).

I am a sometime cyclist as well as taxi user and occasional car driver. Cyclists clearly benefit from this new arrangement. Existing cycle lanes in Camden and London more generally are too narrow, and are often not cleaned properly, so they can in fact be a danger to cyclists (if less so than cycling mixed with regular traffic. So I welcome the thought that has gone into revising the cycle lane provision.

However the traffic congestion that has resulted is really problematic. It is difficult for westbound traffic which has to go up to the Euston Road or down to Shaftesbury Avenue before it can resume its course along New Cavendish and points west.

I do not immediately have an alternative suggestion as to traffic flow, but a redesign of one way systems locally might be more productive. For example if Tavistock was one way westward and then diverted down Malet street, that might be more effective. Malet street is underused at present. I would hope your traffic planners would have some other solutions which could be discussed at

community level before we are subjected to such a reorganisation - one which results in more pollution and more fuel consumed (this at a time of action against climate change). You could also consider the reverse of properly mixing cycles other traffic and possibly even pedestrians by pedestrianising part of the route. That way those who need to travel west or east could do so but at a slower and safer pace.

I look forward to your comments

sincerely

**[redacted personal information]**